

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 LEANDER LEROY JONES, JR.,) CASE NO. ED CV 11-1045-PA (PJW)
11)
12 Petitioner,)
13 v.) ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND
14) RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES
15 RANDY GROUNDS, WARDEN,) MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND DENYING
16) CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY
17 Respondent.)
18 _____)

19 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636, the Court has reviewed the
20 Petition, records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the
21 United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a
22 *de novo* review of those portions of the Report to which Petitioner had
23 objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the
24 Magistrate Judge.

25 Further, for the reasons stated in the Report and
26 Recommendation, the Court finds that Petitioner has not made a
27 substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right and,
28 therefore, a certificate of appealability is denied. See Rules
Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts,

1 Rule 11(a); 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b); *Miller-El*
2 *v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336 (2003).

3
4 DATED: January 9, 2012

5
6 

7 _____
8 PERCY ANDERSON
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 C:\Temp\notesE1EF34\ED11CV01045PA Jones Order Accepting R & R.wpd